

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,277	11/14/2003	Minas Theodore Coroneo	21800-005001	7510
20985 FISH & RICHA	7590 05/11/200 ARDSON PC	7	EXAMINER .	
P.O. BOX 1022			HAND, MELANIE JO	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
				-
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

KI

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/712,277 CORONEO, MINAS Interview Summary **THEODORE** Examiner Art Unit Melanie J. Hand 3761 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Melanie J. Hand. (2) Fred Hernandez. Date of Interview: 03 May 2007. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 1,22,27 and 28. Identification of prior art discussed: Wong (US 5,443,505). Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. f N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested interview to explain structural differences between the device of Wong and the claimed device. Applicant also submitted proposed amendments to claims 1 and 22 to overcome the rejection over Wong. Applicant explained that Wong does not teach a drainage tube having a lumen that opens into the suprachoroidal space. Examiner stated that if applicant wants to express that the lumen opens directly into the suprachoroidal space, then the claims would need to be further amended to reflect that. Support for such an amendment is found in the drawings as filed. Examiner proposed an optional further amendment to the independent claims wherein the phrase "adapted to be deployed" is changed to simply "deployed" as that is the only intended embodiment of the device and may assist in overcoming any additional discovered prior art in the event that allowable subject matter is indicated. Examiner emphasized again that this amendment is optional. Applicant intends to amend the claim language directed to the lumen as suggested by Examiner and is filing an amendment..